

Before the
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005
Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976
Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in
Website: www.mercindia.org.in / www.merc.gov.in

CASE Nos. 63 to 72 of 2017

Dated: 23 May, 2017

CORAM: Shri Azeez M. Khan, Member
Shri Deepak Lad, Member

10 Cases filed by Petitioners from Mangalwedha Tahasil, Dist. Solapur as per Section 67 (4) of Electricity Act, 2003 and Rule 3 (3) of the Maharashtra Electricity Works of Licensee Rules, 2012 seeking review of Sub-Divisional Magistrate Mangalwedha's Orders dated 27.2.2017 and compensation for erecting Transmission Line and Towers on their lands by MSETCL

(Case Nos. 63 to 72 of 2017)

- 1) Shri Dattatray Dnyanoba Jasud and two others (Case No. 63 of 2017).
- 2) Shri Satish Dagdu Shinde (Case No. 64 of 2017).
- 3) Shri Satish Bhavarlal Marda (Case No. 65 of 2017).
- 4) Shri Santosh Pandurang Yadav and Shri Vishwajeet Santosh Yadav (Case No. 66 of 2017).
- 5) Shri Abhiman Eknath Nikam (Case No. 67 of 2017).
- 6) Shri Nandakumar Sopan Jadhav (Case No. 68 of 2017).
- 7) Ms. Bebi Mohan Teli and three others (Case No. 69 of 2017).
- 8) Ms. Tejswini Gajanan Tad and four others (Case No. 70 of 2017).
- 9) Shri Youraj Mahadev Sakhare (Case No. 71 of 2017).
- 10) Shri Tatyia alias Pandurang Maruti Dattu (Case No. 72 of 2017).

... Petitioners

V/s

- 1) The District Magistrate, Solapur
- 2) The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Mangalwedha , District Solapur
- 3) The Executive Engineer, Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd. (MSETCL), Solapur.

... Respondents

Appearance

For the Petitioners

....Shri Shirish R. Pawar (Adv.)

For the Respondent No.1 & 2

.... None

For the Respondent No. 3

..... Shri Dahnanjay Deshmukh (Adv.)

Daily Order

Heard the Advocates of the Petitioners and the Respondent No. 3. No one appeared on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2. As the issues in these 10 Cases are similar, the Commission decided to club Case Nos. 63 to 72 of 2017 for hearing, which is consented by the parties.

The Advocate of MSETCL stated that MSETCL had not received copies of the Petitions, and was hence unable to make its submission. The Commission directed the Advocate of the Petitioners to serve copies of the Petitions to the Respondents and authorised Institutional Consumer Representatives, and adjourned the matter.

Next date of hearing will be informed by the Secretariat of the Commission, upon service of copies of the Petitions to the Respondents and the authorised Institutional Consumer Representatives.

Sd/-
(Deepak Lad)
Member

Sd/-
(Azeez M. Khan)
Member